Evidence of Frontotemporal Structural Hypoconnectivity in Social Anxiety Disorder: A Quantitative Fiber Tractography Study
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Abstract: Investigation of the brain’s white matter fiber tracts in social anxiety disorder (SAD) may provide insight into the underlying pathophysiology. Because models of pathological anxiety posit altered frontolimbic interactions, the uncinate fasciculus (UF) connecting (orbito-) frontal and temporal areas including the amygdala is of particular interest. Microstructural alterations in parts of the UF have been reported previously, whereas examination of the UF as discrete fiber tract with regard to more large-scale properties is still lacking. Diffusion tensor imaging was applied in 25 patients with generalized SAD and 25 healthy control subjects matched by age and gender. By means of fiber tractography, the UF was reconstructed for each participant. The inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF), originating from the frontal cortex similarly to the UF, was additionally included as control tract. Volume and fractional anisotropy (FA) were compared between the groups for both tracts. Volume of left and right UF was reduced in patients with SAD, reaching statistical significance for the left UF. Bilateral IFOF volume was not different between groups. A similar pattern was observed for FA. Reduced volume of the left UF in SAD fits well into pathophysiological models of anxiety, as it suggests deficient structural connectivity between higher-level control areas in the orbitofrontal cortex and more basal limbic areas like the amygdala. The results point to a specific role of the left UF with regard to altered white matter volume in SAD. However, results should be replicated and functional correlates of altered UF volume be determined in future studies. Hum Brain Mapp 34:437–446, 2013. © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

Social anxiety disorder (SAD) involves intense fear and avoidance of social situations, e.g., being in focus of attention of others, with about one of ten in the general population meeting criteria for SAD during lifetime [Kessler et al., 1994]. Hyperactive limbic and paralimbic areas like the amygdala and insula are central to the pathophysiology across a range of anxiety disorders including SAD, post-traumatic stress disorder and specific phobia [Etkin and Wager, 2007]. The amygdala has a pivotal role in salience processing with a particular relatedness to social cues [Adolphs, 2003b] and is, therefore, of special importance regarding social anxiety [Cannistraro and Rauch, 2003]. Beyond hyperactivity of basal affective systems, alterations in SAD have also been shown in frontal cortical activity relevant for cognitive control processes [Goldin et al., 2009], for example in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Models of pathological anxiety posit impaired functional dialogue between basal affective and higher-order control systems [Akirav and Maroun, 2007; Bishop, 2007; Cannistraro and Rauch, 2003], which may underlie the observed functional alterations in limbic and frontal areas in SAD.

The specific features of white matter (WM) architecture in SAD, especially with regard to important fiber bundles, have not been studied extensively so far. However, a more detailed examination of these anatomical features could help understand the neural underpinnings of SAD. The uncinate fasciculus (UF) connects the OFC with limbic/paralimbic regions including amygdala and the anterior temporal lobe [Ebeling and von Cramon, 1992; Petrides and Pandya, 2007]. Hereby, the UF may provide the anatomical connection underlying the functional dialogue between higher-order control and basal affective brain systems. Given also the particular relatedness of amygdala and OFC function to social processes [Adolphs, 2003a] and, thus, social anxiety, the UF is a main fiber tract of interest for the investigation of SAD pathophysiology. Initial evidence points to local microstructural alterations in parts of the right UF in patients with SAD [Phan et al., 2009], underlining our focus on the UF in the present investigation. Examination of the UF as discrete fiber tract with regard to more large-scale properties such as volume is still lacking in the literature.

There are different approaches to study the WM architecture in SAD. Previously [Baur et al., in press], we focused on fractional anisotropy (FA), a measure modulated by fiber directedness. Assessing FA, it is possible to estimate fiber disorganization and demyelination in SAD on a micro-/mesoscopic level. Here, we used an alternative approach in the same subjects: Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) quantitative fiber tractography allows for the reconstruction and quantification of whole fiber bundles within the individual brain’s WM [Mori and van Zijl, 2002]. Because the prominent, well-known WM fiber tracts facilitate functional interactions between distant brain regions, they may also support integration of different modalities (e.g., sensory, cognitive, and emotional processes). For instance, the UF might facilitate cognitive-emotional interactions by enabling communication between the OFC and the amygdala, that is, between higher-level control and basal affective areas. Implications from UF tractography may therefore refer to a more large-scale level regarding the pathophysiology of SAD. Here, we focused on large-scale aspects of the UF and hypothesized reduced UF volume in SAD, corresponding to deficient frontolimbic interactions. To examine whether possible volume differences in the UF could be attributed to unspecific or global effects, we included the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF) interconnecting frontal and occipital lobes as control tract for fiber tracking and statistical analysis. The UF and the IFOF share a common trajectory in the frontal lobe [Catani et al., 2002] (see also Fig. 1) and separate in more posterior regions to follow their own trajectories terminating in the temporal and occipital lobe, respectively. For the IFOF, we did not expect any group differences since there is no direct evidence that this tract is involved in the pathophysiology of SAD. In addition to volume, we assessed mean FA of each reconstructed tract. Analogously, we expected reduced FA of the UF, but not of the IFOF, in SAD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

For the study, 27 outpatients with current diagnosis of generalized SAD were recruited from the outpatient clinic at the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy of the University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland. Due to severe artifacts in DTI images, two patients with SAD had to be excluded from further analyses. In addition to the remaining 25 patients, 25 healthy control subjects were recruited via direct address and email-advertisement. Patient and control groups were matched by age and gender (see Table I). All subjects were consistently right-handed according to the procedure provided by Annett [1970]. Diagnosis of generalized SAD and current and previous comorbid Axis-I diagnoses were established in the patients group using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview for DSM-IV [Sheehan et al., 1998] (German version [Ackenheil et al., 1999]). SAD was the primary diagnosis in all patients, five fulfilled criteria for comorbidities (former depressive episode (remitted) in one patient, current depressive episode/major depressive disorder in three patients, alcohol dependency (remitted) in one patient). Nine patients were taking antidepressant medication due to reactive depressive symptoms (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in five patients, selective serotonin/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors in two patients, mirtazapine in one patient, and clomipramine/zolpidem in another patient). Two of these patients were additionally taking lithium, one quetiapin. Dose of drugs had been stable for more than one month in all nine patients when
participating in the study. Healthy control subjects were free of current or past psychiatric disorders and of medication (except for oral contraceptives in females), as determined in a semistructured clinical interview according to DSM-IV. Neurological disorders, head trauma, pregnancy, excessive consumption of drugs (alcohol, nicotine, caffeine), and further contraindications against magnetic resonance imaging served as exclusion criteria for the study. For assessment of general anxiety, all participants completed the trait version of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI [Spielberger et al., 1970], German version [Laux et al., 1981]). Social anxiety was assessed in patients with the self-rating version of the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS [Liebowitz, 1987], German version [Stangier and Heidenreich, 2005]). Degree of depression in patients with SAD was assessed with Beck’s Depression Inventory (BDI; [Beck et al., 1961], German version [Hautzinger et al., 1994]). In addition, patients were asked to retrospectively state the onset of their symptoms. The majority of patients (88.9%) reported a positive family history of psychiatric disorders (mostly SAD and depression, information available in only 18 patients). After complete description of the study to the subjects, written informed consent was obtained. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

**TABLE I. Demographic, psychometric, and clinical measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>SAD</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>t*</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI</td>
<td>50.2b</td>
<td>33.2c</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSAS</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (yrs)d</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male, 18</td>
<td>Female, 7</td>
<td>Male, 18</td>
<td>Female, 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aSAD vs. HC, according to an independent t-test.

*bCorresponds to increased values [Laux et al., 1981].

*cCorresponds to normal values [Laux et al., 1981].

*dAvailable in 20 patients. SAD: patients with social anxiety disorder, HC: healthy controls, SD: standard deviation, STAI: Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (trait version), LSAS: Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale, BDI: Beck’s Depression Inventory.

**DTI Data Acquisition**

DTI scans were acquired on a 3.0 T whole-body scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee) equipped with a standard 8-channel head coil. One diffusion-weighted spin-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) scan was obtained from all participants. Slices were acquired sequentially in transversal orientation (matrix 256 × 256 pixels, 39 slices, slice thickness 3.2 mm, field of view (FOV) = 240 × 240 mm², in-plane spatial resolution 0.94 × 0.94 mm²). Further imaging parameters were: echo time (TE) = 87.8 ms, repetition
time (TR) = 12,000 ms. Diffusion sensitization was achieved with two balanced diffusion gradients centered on the 180° radio-frequency pulse. Diffusion was measured in 21 noncollinear directions with a \( b \)-value of \( b = 1,000 \text{ s/mm}^2 \). Five additional interleaved nondiffusion-weighted volumes (\( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \)) served as reference volumes. Scan time was about 6 min. In addition to DTI, T1-, and T2-weighted images were acquired to exclude possible T1-/T2-sensitive abnormalities.

**Data Preprocessing and Fiber Tractography**

Preprocessing was done with FMRIB Software Library (FSL) Version 4.1.6 [Smith et al., 2004] (available at: www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) and comprised the following steps: (1) segregation of brain tissue from non-brain tissue using the Brain Extraction Tool [Smith, 2002]; (2) Eddy current and head movement correction using EDDYCORRECT from FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox [Smith et al., 2004]; (3) rotation of the gradients according to the corrected parameters from step (2); (4) local fitting of diffusion tensors and construction of individual FA maps using DTIFIT from FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox [Smith et al., 2004].

For fiber tracking, Diffusion Toolkit 0.5 and TrackVis 0.5.1 were used [Wang et al., 2007] (available at: www.trackvis.org). The preprocessed data from FSL were further processed with Diffusion Toolkit. For each subject, the diffusion tensors were estimated according to the

---

**Figure 2.**

Tracking procedure and examples. **A.** Tracking of the uncinate fasciculus (UF): Placement of ROIs within one coronal slice (left) and localization of this slice in a lateral view (right) are shown. For illustration purposes, six examples of the left UF are shown in red. **B.** Tracking of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF): Placement of ROIs within two different coronal slices (left) and respective localization of these slices in a lateral view (right) are shown. For illustration purposes, three examples of the left IFOF are shown in yellow. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
corrected gradients. Deterministic fiber tracking was performed with the “brute-force” approach [Huang et al., 2004], an automatic procedure commonly used to reconstruct fibers across the whole WM by tracking fibers from each voxel in the brain. The fiber assignment continuous tracking (FACT) algorithm [Mori et al., 1999] was used. Accordingly, fibers were reconstructed by TrackVis along the principal eigenvector of each voxel’s diffusion tensor. Tracking termination criteria were angle $> 45^\circ$ and FA $< 0.2$ [Mori and van Zijl, 2002] (individual FA map derived from FSL’s DTIFIT was used as mask image in Diffusion Toolkit). Fiber tracking was performed successively in each subject’s native space. Color-coded FA maps derived from the principal eigenvector of the diffusion tensor in each voxel were used for region-of-interest (ROI) drawing in TrackVis. ROIs were drawn large-sized to include the entirety of the tract of interest and avoid false-negative fibers [Yasmin et al., 2009] (see also Fig. 2). All fiber tracts were obtained through a two-ROI approach (seed ROI and target ROI) with logical AND concatenation [Catani et al., 2002; Wakana et al., 2007] of the two ROIs, such that only fibers that passed both ROIs were included in the reconstructed tract. Obviously spurious fibers were removed from the fiber tract by using an additional avoidance ROI (logical NOT operation) [Wakana et al., 2007]. For the UF, both the seed and the target ROI was placed in the same coronal slice where the anterior-posterior fibers (coded in green) of the frontal and the temporal lobe were visible at the most posterior point (see Fig. 2A for illustration of the ROI placement and tractography examples for the UF, see also [Wakana et al., 2007]). For the IFOF, the seed ROI was placed in the occipital lobe according to Wakana et al. [2007]. The target ROI was placed at the densest portion of the fiber bundle projecting anteriorly (coded in green, anterior floor of the external capsule).

**TABLE II. Tract-specific and global measures of interest**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAD</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>$t^a$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$d^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume (ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF Left</td>
<td>4.51 ± 2.39</td>
<td>5.77 ± 2.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>5.01 ± 1.69</td>
<td>5.60 ± 1.45</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFOF Left</td>
<td>8.54 ± 2.27</td>
<td>9.49 ± 3.63</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8.41 ± 2.37</td>
<td>8.75 ± 2.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global WM</td>
<td>730.2 ± 81.7</td>
<td>739.7 ± 65.0</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF Left</td>
<td>0.445 ± 0.027</td>
<td>0.464 ± 0.023</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.452 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.455 ± 0.023</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFOF Left</td>
<td>0.524 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.532 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.517 ± 0.028</td>
<td>0.524 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global WM</td>
<td>0.487 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.496 ± 0.013</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* SAD vs. HC, according to an independent $t$-test contrasting relative values for UF and IFOF (local tract value divided by global WM value).

*Note:* Cohen’s $d$ (effect size).

*Note:* Left vs. right, according to a paired $t$-test.

*Note:* One-tailed, corresponding to a corrected $a = 0.025$ according to Bonferroni. UF: uncinate fasciculus, IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, FA: fractional anisotropy, SAD: patients with social anxiety disorder, HC: healthy controls, WM: white matter.
Statistical Analysis

For each subject and each reconstructed fiber tract (left UF, right UF, left IFOF, right IFOF), the following variables were extracted from TrackVis: volume (in ml), mean FA, mean fiber length (in mm), and fiber count (artificial unit for number of fibers). In addition, global (whole-brain) values according to the “brute-force” tracking approach delivered by TrackVis were obtained for each of these measures. Statistical analysis was done with IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 19, SPSS Inc, an IBM company, Armonk, NY).

Demographic and psychometric group differences were examined using independent two-tailed t-tests \( (P < 0.05) \). Inter-rater reliability was assessed by calculating the intra-class correlation coefficient on absolute volume and absolute FA values for the tracts of 16 subjects measured by both raters. For examination of normal distribution, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used.

Group differences of the UF and IFOF with regard to volume and FA were assessed with independent \( t \)-tests contrasting the relative tract measures (tract volume divided by global WM volume; tract mean FA divided by global mean FA). Our focus was on UF volume, for which we had a directional hypothesis of reduced volume in patients with SAD compared with healthy subjects. Because we tested both left and right UF, cumulation of alpha error was controlled for by applying Bonferroni correction resulting in a corrected \( \alpha = 0.025 \) for the left and right UF, respectively. Significance tests related to the IFOF were performed thereafter under the hypothesis not to see group differences. Thus, Bonferroni correction was applied solely for tests related to the UF. Analysis of FA was done accordingly. To assess possible medication-related effects of volume and FA, post-hoc, univariate analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used with status of medication as between-subjects factor \((n = 9 \text{ vs. } n = 16)\) and with the respective global measure, trait anxiety (difference between SAD subgroups at \( P < 0.05 \)), and age (difference between SAD subgroups at \( P = 0.11 \)) as covariates of no interest. To assess possible comorbidity-related effects of volume and FA, post-hoc, ANCOVA comprised status of comorbidity as between-subjects factor \((n = 5 \text{ vs. } n = 20)\) with respective global measures as covariates of no interest. Further post-hoc analysis related to volume was done investigating relative mean fiber length and relative number of fibers (independent \( t \)-tests and Pearson correlation). Dimensional associations of relative tract volume as well as relative tract mean FA with trait anxiety (STAI) and social anxiety (LSAS) were examined with Pearson’s correlation. \( P \)-values related to UF volume and FA were one-tailed (corrected for multiple comparisons), whereas all other \( P \)-values were two-tailed (uncorrected). Additionally, effect sizes (Cohen’s \( d \)) were determined.

RESULTS

General Measures, Inter-Rater Reliability, and Normal Distribution

Demographic, psychometric and clinical measures are summarized in Table I. Intra-class correlation coefficients were \( > 0.92 \) for absolute volume and \( > 0.95 \) for absolute mean FA, indicating excellent reproducibility for the main measures of interest for each reconstructed fiber tract. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests yielded normal distributions for each fiber tract, with regard to both relative volume (each \( P > 0.57 \)) and relative FA (each \( P > 0.90 \)).

Volume and Fractional Anisotropy Associated With Social Anxiety Disorder

Tractography was successful for all tracts and all subjects. Absolute volume and FA values for the reconstructed tracts and global WM are shown in Table II, separately for patients with SAD and for healthy subjects, including measures of the respective statistical comparisons.

As the main result, patients with SAD had a significantly lower relative volume of the left UF compared with healthy subjects \((P_{\text{Bonferroni}} = 0.024)\). Volume of the right UF was also reduced in SAD, however, without reaching a statistical significance or trend \((P_{\text{Bonferroni}} = 0.088)\). For the left and right IFOF and global WM, no significant differences were observed (see Table II, Fig. 3). There were no correlations of volume with psychometric and clinical measures (see Supporting Information, Table S1). Post-hoc analysis related to left UF volume yielded no significant differences, for neither medication \((F = 2.34, P = 0.14)\) nor comorbidity \((F = 0.65, P = 0.80)\). Further post-hoc
examination related to left UF volume (summarized in Supporting Information, Table S2) yielded significantly reduced mean fiber length compared with healthy subjects, whereas fiber count was not significantly different between groups. However, variance in left UF volume was rather explained by fiber count (87%) as compared with fiber length (61%).

Beyond examination of volume, patients with SAD had lower relative mean FA than healthy subjects of the left UF at a trend level ($P_{\text{Bonferroni}} = 0.0495$), whereas for the other tracts, no significant differences or trends were observed (see Table II, Supporting Information, Fig. S3). Global mean FA was significantly reduced in patients with SAD. A correlation of relative FA with trait anxiety was observed for the left UF and right IFOF in SAD, but not in healthy subjects (see Supporting Information, Table S1 and Supporting Information, Fig. S4). There were no correlations with social anxiety. Post-hoc analysis related to left UF FA yielded neither medication-related ($F = 1.82, P = 0.19$) nor comorbidity-related ($F = 1.38, P = 0.25$) effects.

**DISCUSSION**

We used quantitative fiber tractography to investigate differences of volume and FA between patients with SAD and healthy subjects in two fiber tracts originating in the frontal cortex. Our main finding was reduced volume of the left UF in SAD, which accords with our hypothesis. There were no statistically significant group differences for the right UF and bilaterally for the IFOF. WM fiber tracts in the brain provide the anatomical basis for direct functional interactions between distant brain regions, facilitating also integrative processes. The UF connects frontal cortices including OFC with anterior temporal areas and with the amygdala [Ebeling and von Cramon, 1992; Petrides and Pandya, 2007] and may therefore facilitate frontolimbic interactions. In anxiety disorders, activation of limbic/paralimbic areas as the amygdala and insula is increased [Cannistraro and Rauch, 2003; Etkin and Wager, 2007], and models of exaggerated anxiety additionally implicate deficient prefrontal control mechanisms in anxious subjects [Bishop, 2007, 2009; Freitas-Ferrari et al., 2010]. Being faced with social situations, patients with SAD exhibit strong emotional responding, which may correspond to exaggerated activity of the amygdala and diminished prefrontal cognitive control. Cognitive-emotional integration such as emotion regulation strategies may be crucial for dealing with stressors and tends to be disturbed in anxiety disorders like SAD [Salters-Pedneault et al., 2006; Turk et al., 2005]. If control/evaluative systems (OFC) and salience/affective systems (amygdala, insula) lack exchange of information, limbic circuits will possibly develop “a life of its own” leading to exaggerated arousal states [Freitas-Ferrari et al., 2010] which are frequent in SAD. Since the UF may be the main tract facilitating direct functional interactions between the OFC and the amygdala [Ebeling and von Cramon, 1992; Petrides and Pandya, 2007], which may involve top-down inhibition [Ghashghaei and Barbas, 2002], reduced volume of the left UF fits well into models of SAD pathophysiology. Reduced volume in the left UF suggests structural hypoconnectivity between grey matter areas in the frontal and anterior temporal lobe, which may yield implications for neuronal communication encompassed by these areas. For instance, functional connectivity between the OFC and the amygdala has been shown to be crucial for cognitive reappraisal of negative stimuli [Kanske et al., 2011] and capable of decreasing negative affect [Banks et al., 2007]. Both structures are implicated in evaluation of significance of stimuli, fear extinction, and decision making based on their functional dialogue [Dolan, 2007]. Furthermore, they may underlie approach and avoidance behavior [Aupperle and Paulus, 2010]. Recently, effective connectivity between the OFC and the amygdala has been shown to be increased in SAD bidirectionally [Liao et al., 2010]. In the light of our present results, this would point to compensatory mechanisms on a functional level due to a structural “deficit.” Evidence of compensatory mechanisms in SAD comes from another recent study assessing a relationship between reduced grey matter volume in temporal cortices and increased functional connectivity with these areas [Liao et al., 2011]. Besides hyperactivity of the amygdala [Cannistraro and Rauch, 2003; Etkin and Wager, 2007], under-recruitment of the OFC associated with social anxiety has been shown in functional neuroimaging studies [Bruhl et al., 2011; Tillfors et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2011]. The fact that we found no correlations with measures reflecting social anxiety challenges the notion whether reduced UF volume is of specific relevance for SAD. Rather, the present results might point to a pathophysiologic characteristic underlying abnormal anxiety or mood regulation in general. This is supported by studies that have identified WM alterations in the UF in mood disorders and schizophrenia [Kawashima et al., 2009; McIntosh et al., 2008], suggesting in turn a role of the UF in processes modulated by common risk factors of several psychiatric disorders, such as early-life stress. Comparative studies across different psychiatric disorders would be necessary to address this issue.

On a morphometric level, we consider three possibilities that may explain the reported volume difference of the left UF between patients with SAD and healthy subjects. First, fiber loss and thus reduced fiber density may lead to reduced volume in SAD. This might also explain the finding of reduced FA within the left UF. Second, there are more large-scale interconnected and/or a higher number of involved grey matter areas associated with the UF in healthy subjects, reflected by thinner and/or shorter UF tracts in SAD. Third, it is a mixture of both. Indeed, our post-hoc analysis yielded reduced mean fiber length of the left UF in SAD and 87% explained variance of left UF volume by fiber count. This indicates that both the length and pure presence of fibers have contributed to the effect of reduced volume.
Analysis of FA yielded a similar pattern as for volume: patients with SAD had reduced mean FA of the left UF (statistical trend with moderate effect size), whereas for the other reconstructed tracts, there were no differences compared to healthy subjects. This points to micro-/mesoscopic alterations in WM along or in distict portions of the left UF, for example changes in fiber orientation and/or organization, and partially confirms a previous study in which reduced FA in SAD was identified in a part of the right UF [Phan et al., 2009]. Further findings of the present study were reduced global mean FA in SAD and a negative relation of left UF FA and trait anxiety in patients with SAD, but not in healthy subjects. A detailed discussion of FA alterations in this sample of patients with SAD and a more detailed comparison with findings by Phan et al. can be found in our previous report [Baur et al., in press].

Reduced global mean FA in SAD is in contrast to global WM volume, for which we did not find group differences. Possibly, FA is reduced in a spatially more diffuse manner, whereas WM volume is reduced more specifically (e.g., in the left UF). It was not within the scope of the present study to assess associations between FA and volume for individual fiber tracts or globally for the brain’s WM. Future studies have to address this question explicitly. Although our results indicate that WM alterations in SAD relate to volume in addition to FA, it may be of note that the reported volumes are related to the applied tractography preprocessing steps (see also [Wakana et al., 2007]). We used an FA threshold of 0.2 as recommended and used in other tractography studies as well [Mori and van Zijl, 2002; Rodrigo et al., 2007; Wakana et al., 2007]. Thus, volume values refer to white matter in which FA is greater than 0.2. The two ROIs used for tractography of the UF were located in the same coronal slice slightly anterior to the temporal horn (see Fig. 2A). Hence, there may be more tolerance for variance in fiber length in the frontal part as compared with the temporal part of the UF. Since mean UF fiber length was reduced in SAD besides evidence of reduced FA in SAD in orbitofrontal/frontopolar WM according to previous reports [Baur et al., in press; Phan et al., 2009], we cannot completely rule out that the effect of the left UF is partially influenced by cases in the SAD group with some voxels in the left frontopolar area having FA smaller than 0.2 but still belonging to UF WM. This would, however, in turn suggest impaired integrity of fibers in the left UF, probably involving a lack of frontopolar links to more posterior and temporal parts (like the insula, amygdala, and temporal pole) in SAD (see Supporting Information, animated picture S5). Three issues support the present approach: First, setting the threshold more liberally (e.g., 0.1) would have resulted in including more spurious and false-positive fibers and, thus, hampered the tractography process. Second, having identified globally reduced FA in SAD but not globally reduced volume suggests that reduced volume of the UF is not just a “covered” effect of reduced FA. Third, fiber count heavily contributed to the finding of reduced left UF volume. It may be worth to point out that within our sample there were no downward statistical outliers for left UF volume in the SAD group. Although there was a high variation related to volume and shape in the reconstructed tracts, our UF volume mean and standard deviation values of the healthy subjects are in line with those reported by Hasan et al. [2009]. We included each tract as it was initially reconstructed after the tracking procedure (see methods), for the reason that this might reveal potential features of underlying SAD pathophysiology. However, our finding needs to be replicated. The choice of including the IFOF as control tract stems from the fact that, just like the UF, it originates in the frontopolar cortex. As in the frontal lobe the IFOFs trajectory runs at close quarters to the UF (see Fig. 1), inspection of IFOF trajectory served as a means to rule out possible biases related to data acquisition (e.g., frontal signal drop-outs). With regard to contents, both tracts mediate intrahemispheric communication with frontal cortices, which stand for complex human processes like worrying and thus may be one source of anxiety disorders [Berkowitz et al., 2007].

Limitations

Nine of 25 patients in the present study sample were taking medication, which represents a certain limitation. We decided to include the medicated patients for three reasons. First, other DTI studies in anxiety disorders used a similar strategy [Ha et al., 2009; Phan et al., 2009], which makes the present study comparable to those studies. Second, long-term effects of antidepressant medication on brain structure due to plasticity, however, may rather lead to adaptation towards healthy subjects’ brain morphology [Castren, 2005], not biasing the volume effect found in the present study. Third, medicated patients had significantly higher anxiety levels than those without medication. Since the volume effect of the left UF disappears when contrasting medication-free patients (n = 16) versus healthy subjects, it is likely that inclusion of the medicated patients favored the detection of volume alterations in SAD that may be related to elevated anxiety. This reflects an area of conflict between the missing of real effects due to exclusion and the detection of biased effects due to inclusion of medicated patients. The latter seems unlikely according to the applied post-hoc examination focusing on the left UF revealing neither statistical significance nor trends of possible medication-related effects. However, the potential influence of medication on WM structure in general should be paid attention on by researchers in clinical neuroscience, even more unless there are studies that explicitly investigate dose-dependent impact of antidepressants on WM. Because, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first tractography study in anxiety disorders, we believe that the present approach may be perceived as justified and be of importance for future studies applying this method to patients with anxiety disorders.
A methodological limitation relates to DTI data acquisition: Here, in-plane resolution was high (< 1 mm²), whereas resolution along the z-axis was relatively low (> 3 mm). Because isometric voxel sizes are generally recommended for DTI tractography studies, this prompts additional caution in interpreting the results of UF fiber tracking.

Implications of the present results for WM structure in SAD can only bear on, and thus are limited to, the actual reconstructed tracts, namely the UF and IFOF. Examination of further prominent fiber tracts with regard to volume would have been beyond the scope of our present study. Global WM volume not being significantly reduced in SAD (see Table II), however, is in favor of the view that volume reduction in SAD may indeed be specific to the left UF. Yet, further well-known fiber tracts may be included in future tractography studies in SAD.

CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting on fiber tract volume alterations in SAD. Quantitative fiber tractography may be a useful tool to investigate anatomical WM connectivity within well-known fiber tracts in SAD. We were able to show smaller volume and FA values in patients with SAD for the left UF, but not for the right UF and the IFOF. This suggests particular importance of the frontotemporal WM presence concerning the pathophysiology of SAD, possibly because of the facilitation of cognitive-emotional interactions between the OFC and the amygdala through the UF. Three topics of significance beyond SAD may emerge from the present results and guide future studies: (1) identification of functional correlates of UF volume alterations, (2) characterization of the relationship between FA and WM volume, and (3) comparative investigation of the UF’s role in across different psychiatric disorders.
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